

02-29-00

A

02/28/00
Jc712 U.S. PTO

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box ☐ Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))	Attorney Docket No.	Golowich 7-18
	First Inventor or Application Identifier	Golowich
	Title	Technique for Measuring Modal Power Distribution Between an Optical Source and a Multimode Fiber
	Express Mail Label No.	EK 152940284 US

APPLICATION ELEMENTS See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.	ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box Patent Application Washington, DC 20231
---	--

1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> * Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17) (Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing)	5. <input type="checkbox"/> Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specification [Total Pages 14] (preferred arrangement set forth below) - Descriptive title of the invention - Cross References to Related Applications - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D - Reference to Microfiche Appendix - Background of the invention - Brief Summary of the invention - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed) - Detailed Description - Claim(s) - Abstract of the Disclosure	6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Computer Readable Copy b. <input type="checkbox"/> Paper Copy (identical to computer copy) c. <input type="checkbox"/> Statement verifying identity of above copies
3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets 4] Informal	
4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages] a. <input type="checkbox"/> Newly executed (original or copy) b. <input type="checkbox"/> Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d)) (for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed) i. <input type="checkbox"/> DELETION OF INVENTOR(S) Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).	

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS	
7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))	
8. <input type="checkbox"/> 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement (when there is an assignee)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney
9. <input type="checkbox"/> English Translation Document (if applicable)	
10. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement (IDS/PTO-1449)	<input type="checkbox"/> Copies of IDS Citations
11. <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary Amendment	
12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503) (Should be specifically itemized)	
13. <input type="checkbox"/> * Small Entity Statement(s) (PTO/SB/09-12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Statement filed in prior application, Status still proper and desired
14. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed)	
15. <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	

16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment

<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation	<input type="checkbox"/> Divisional	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation-in-part (CIP)	of prior application No. _____
Prior application information: Examiner _____			Group / Art Unit: _____

For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS					
<input type="checkbox"/> Customer Number or Bar Code Label			or <input type="checkbox"/> Correspondence address below		
(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)					
Name	Wendy W. Koba, Esq. Wendy W. Koba				
Address	PO Box 556				
City	Springtown	State	PA	Zip Code	18081
Country	U.S.A.	Telephone	610-346-7112	Fax	610-346-8189

Name (Print/Type)	Wendy W. Koba, Esq.	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	30509
Signature	Wendy W. Koba	Date	2/28/00

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

CASE *Golowich 7-18*

TITLE *Technique for Measuring Modal Power Distribution
Between an Optical Source and a Multimode Fiber*

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR

Enclosed are the following papers related to the above-name application for patent:

Specification

4 Informal sheet (s) of drawings

1 Assignment-To be recorded

Declaration and Power of Attorney

Associate Power of Attorney

Recordation Form Cover Sheet (2 copies)

CLAIMS AS FILED				
	No. Filed	No. Extra	Rate	Calculations
Total Claims	<i>6</i> -20=	<i>0</i>	x \$18	<i>0</i>
Independent Claims	<i>2</i> -3=	<i>0</i>	x \$78	<i>0</i>
Multiple Dependent, if applicable			x \$260	<i>0</i>
BASIC FEE				\$690.00

TOTAL FEES *690.00*

Please file the application and charge **Lucent Technologies, Inc. Deposit Account No. 12-2325** the amount of *\$690.00* to cover the filing fee for this application. In the event of any non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or credit **Deposit Account No. 12-2325** as required to correct the error.

Please address all correspondence to Wendy W. Koba, P.O. Box 556, Springtown, PA 18081-0556. Telephone calls should be directed to Wendy W. Koba at 610-346-7112.

Respectfully,

Wendy W. Koba

Wendy W. Koba

Reg. No. 30509

Attorney for Applicant(s)

610-346-7112

Date: *2/28/00*

TECHNIQUE FOR MEASURING MODAL POWER DISTRIBUTION BETWEEN AN OPTICAL SOURCE AND A MULTIMODE FIBER

Technical Field

5 The present invention relates to a method for characterizing the modal power distribution of an optical signal launched from a laser source into a multimode optical fiber.

Background of the Invention

10 There is currently a great deal of interest in optical local area networks operating at speeds of a Gbps or more. An Ethernet standard for such transmission is now in place and will inevitably function to accelerate the use of high speeds optical LANs. In order to achieve these high bit rates, semiconductor lasers (for example, vertical cavity surface emitting lasers (VCSELs) or Fabry-Perot (FP) lasers) will be used as transmission
15 sources. In the case of most optical LANs, however, multimode fiber will be used, due to both its ease of installation compared to single mode fiber and the fact that there exists a significantly large embedded base of multimode fiber.

 Historically, multimode fiber has been used with LEDs as sources, not lasers, where LEDs are known to launch nearly equal power into every mode (defined as an
20 “overfilled” launch). In the current application for use with lasers, however, the optical launches will be very far from overfilled, based on the characteristics of laser emission. In order to develop fast, reliable, low-cost systems, it is crucial to understand the behavior of multimode fiber under these restricted, laser-based launched conditions.

 It has previously been discovered that restricting the launch in the laser mode
25 does not always increase the bandwidth of a multimode fiber relative to the overfilled launch bandwidth, as might be intuitively expected. For most fibers and most restricted launches, a bandwidth gain is seen, but there are also cases where the bandwidth actually decreases. The latter is a concern in attempting to develop a set of transmission standards for a gigabit Ethernet (GbE). In particular, one source of bandwidth decrease has been
30 found to be attributable to refractive index perturbations near the fiber axis that are common to all major multimode fiber manufacturing techniques, resulting in a standards

requirement for “offset launches” that avoids the center of the multimode fiber for certain applications.

The GbE standard is generally believed to be quite conservative. To close the gap between the standard and the optimal use of multimode fiber in LAN systems, accurate measurement tools are required. One such measurement tool is the measure of modal power distribution (MPD) of a source (i.e., laser) into a multimode fiber. The MPD essentially characterizes a launch, and is therefore clearly fundamental to understanding the behavior of a multimode fiber link. Also, many different optical sources for GbE are currently under development, so an accurate and robust measurement technique for evaluating the MPD induced by these sources is useful for both source development and selection.

One prior art technique for measuring MPD is based on calculations using ray optics, which becomes exact as the number of modes tends toward infinity. This technique, as described in detail in *Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA)/Electronics Industries Association (EIA) Telecommunications System Bulletin, ITM-3*, “Mode Power Distribution and Mode Transfer Function Measurement”, dated August 1995, requires a continuous wave (cw) measurement of the near-field intensity at the end of a length of fiber (which is generally accomplished with a CCD camera). For many applications, ray optics is a useful approach, but for restricted launch situations, the approximation is not appropriate and the standard MPD reconstruction algorithm may yield incorrect results.

Another prior art technique again involves processing near-field intensity, but reconstructing the MPD using a modal, rather than a ray-optics, calculation. This technique is discussed in detail in an article entitled “Modal Excitation of Optical Fibers: Estimating the Modal Power Distribution”, TIA Draft Notes 2.2, J.S. Abbott, 1998. However, limitations in the near-field intensity data make the reconstruction problem of finding the MPD difficult, and can thus limit the accuracy of the results in practice.

Thus, a need remains for properly characterizing the modal power distribution of an arbitrary laser source as launched into a multimode fiber.

Summary of the Invention

The need remaining in the prior art is addressed by the present invention, which relates to a method for characterizing the modal power distribution of an optical signal launched from a laser source into a multimode optical fiber and, more particularly, to the use of a pulsed output from the source being tested as launched through a test fiber that will temporally separate the pulses. Additionally, an image of the test fiber endface may be obtained to provide both temporal and spatial data for reconstructing the MPD of the source.

In accordance with the present invention, the MPD measurement technique includes the steps of: (a) characterizing a predetermined test fiber (multimode) with a differential modal delay (DMD) measurement by scanning a single mode fiber across the input end of the test fiber and launching a short pulse at each position; (b) characterizing a launch condition resulting from a specified source under test (a reverse DMD measurement) using short pulses launched from the source with a single mode fiber scanned across the output end of the fiber; and (c) applying a reconstruction algorithm to recover the launched modal power from the data obtained in the first two steps.

In an alternative process of the present invention, the step of characterizing the reverse DMD may be omitted if the mode groups of the test fiber have sufficiently widely separated group velocities, the test fiber is long enough, and the source is capable of launching sufficiently short pulses at high enough powers.

Other and further features of the technique of the present invention will become apparent during the course of the following discussion and by reference to the accompanying drawings.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Referring now to the drawings,

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary test arrangement for characterizing the differential mode delay (DMD) of a test fiber;

FIG. 2 contains a graph illustrating simulated DMD data from characterizing the test fiber of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 illustrates an exemplary test arrangement for characterizing the reverse DMD of a source being tested in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a graph illustrating simulated reverse DMD data resulting from a single mode source;

5 FIG. 5 is a graph containing the results of MPD reconstruction in the ideal case when all assumptions are satisfied;

FIG. 6 is a graph illustrating MPD reconstructions for three different offsets in the launch conditions;

10 FIG. 7 is a graph illustrating the results of the MPD reconstruction of the present invention with a mismatch in the single mode fiber beam radius; and

FIG. 8 illustrates an alternative measurement arrangement of the present invention, useful in situations where the multimode fiber is characterized by a relatively wide separation in group velocities between each mode group.

15 ***Detailed Description***

Prior to describing the modal power distribution measurement technique of the present invention, it is useful to understand the pertinent properties of the type of multimode fiber that will be used in optical LAN systems. In general, the standard multimode fiber used in local area network applications has a core diameter of 62.5 μ m and a maximum fractional index difference $(n_{core} - n_{cladding})/n_{core}$ of 0.02. This type of multimode fiber is typically used at one of two operating wavelengths, $\lambda = 850$ nm or 1300nm. The profiles are chosen to maximize the modal bandwidth, that is, to minimize the spread in modal group velocities. The optimal profile shape depends on the exact dispersion characteristics of the doped silica comprising the fiber, but is very close to a parabola.

20

25

The propagating modes can be divided into degenerate mode groups (DMGs) defined by the property that all modes in a DMG share a common phase velocity. In a standard multimode fiber at $\lambda = 1300$ nm, there are roughly 22 such mode groups, while there are approximately 33 such groups at $\lambda = 850$ nm. Random imperfections in the fiber will couple power between modes. In standard multimode fiber, the modes within a

30

specific degenerate mode group are believed to be completely coupled within a few hundred meters, while the coupling between different mode groups is negligible.

A pulse launched into a given mode propagates at the group velocity of the mode, and spreads due to chromatic dispersion. When a group of modes are coupled together, as happens within a mode group, the pulses continually share power and ultimately merge into a single pulse, possibly broadened by modal, as well as chromatic, dispersion. Therefore, when a pulse of light is launched into modes of several mode groups of a standard multimode fiber, the impulse response of the fiber is expected to be the superposition of 22 or 33 pulses, depending on the wavelength. In a high bandwidth fiber, the group delays of the different mode groups will be very nearly the same, while in a low bandwidth fiber, the pulses will be spread out in time. This modal dispersion is generally considered to be the limiting factor in the performance of multimode fiber.

The modal power distribution (MPD) measurement system of the present invention relies on a number of assumptions, as described below. The first assumption is of complete modal coupling within a degenerate mode group, but no coupling between different mode groups. The lack of coupling between mode groups has been found to be highly accurate in today's multimode fiber, as determined from the associated differential modal delay and loss measurements. Precise information regarding coupling lengths within mode groups is difficult to ascertain, but some reports suggest that complete coupling occurs within a few hundred meters in uncabled fiber. By choosing a sufficiently long test fiber (for example, 1km), it may be presumed that each degenerate mode group is uniformly populated.

The second assumption is that the differential modal attenuation of multimode fiber can be characterized by assigning a unique attenuation factor to each degenerate mode group. This assumption follows from the above presumption regarding complete coupling within a degenerate mode group. Lastly, it will be assumed that the impulse response of each degenerate mode group is Gaussian. There are two causes for pulse spreading within a mode group: chromatic dispersion and modal dispersion within the group. Under the conditions relevant to communications applications, the impulse response of each mode will be approximately Gaussian, due to chromatic dispersion. Without complete intra-group coupling, the impulse response would be a mixture of

Gaussians, but the effect of complete coupling is to render the impulse response to be again Gaussian.

With these assumptions in place, the impulse response of mode group i can be characterized as follows:

$$h(t, \tau_i, \gamma_i, \sigma_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i} \exp\left[-\gamma_i z - \frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} (t - \tau_i z)^2\right]$$

5

where τ_i is defined as the group delay per unit length of mode group i , γ_i is its attenuation coefficient, σ_i is the pulse width, and z is the length of the fiber. As discussed in detail below, this impulse response is used to characterize the modal power distribution in accordance with the present invention.

10

The MPD measurement system of the present invention provides estimates of the power launched into the various modes of a test fiber by a given light source. As described in detail below, the measurement system requires three steps: (1) characterization of a test multimode fiber (differential modal delay measurement); (2) characterization of a launch condition resulting from a specified source; and (3) application of a reconstruction algorithm to recover the launched modal powers from the data obtained in steps (1) and (2).

15

20

FIG. 1 illustrates, in simplified form, an exemplary test arrangement that may be used to determine the differential modal delay (DMD) for an exemplary test multimode fiber 10. As shown, a single mode input fiber 12 is scanned across the input endface 14 of multimode test fiber 10 (indicated in phantom in FIG. 1). A short pulse is launched into multimode fiber 10 and the total power P , as a function of time, is measured at output endface 16 of fiber 10 and stored in a measurement unit 18. One exemplary embodiment may use a 40 μ m diameter multimode test fiber 10, with a maximal fractional index difference of $\Delta = 0.9\%$. Assuming input single mode fiber 12 exhibits a beam radius of 2.5 μ m, the measurement results would be as depicted in the graph of FIG. 2.

25

Once the DMD for the test fiber has been determined, a reverse DMD measurement is performed (using the same test fiber) to characterize a particular laser source. An exemplary test arrangement for this process is shown in FIG. 3, with a laser

source 20 disposed to launch short pulses into input endface 14 of test fiber 10. A single mode fiber 22 is scanned across output endface 16 of test fiber 10 and used to measure the power at each position. Various launch conditions can be measured, as discussed above, including an axial launch or a launch offset from the optical axis of test fiber 10.

5 Measurement unit 18 is used to store the power information as a function of time for this source. FIG. 4 illustrates the reverse DMD data recorded for a launch offset from the center of test fiber 10 by an amount y of approximately $8\mu\text{m}$.

The characterization of the test multimode fiber (DMD measurement) and characterization of the launch conditions (reverse DMD measurement) by means of
10 scanning a SMF across the input and output endfaces, respectively, of the test multimode fiber, are described only as exemplary of an appropriate method for such characterization. Those skilled in the art will recognize that other appropriate techniques exist for the mode-selective launching and receiving of optical signals into and out of a multimode fiber, and will be able to modify the reconstruction algorithm as defined below so that it
15 will apply to any other appropriate technique.

Once the DMD of the test fiber has been determined and the reverse DMD has been characterized for a specific launch condition, these measurements can be used to reconstruct the modal power distribution. The first step is to estimate the parameters τ_i , σ_i , and γ_i that are used in the propagation model as discussed above and defined in
20 equation (1). In one embodiment, this is accomplished by fitting a nonlinear model to the measured DMD data. Presuming the defined impulse response $h_{DMD}(y, t)$ denotes the modal power at output endface 16 of fiber 10 at time t when single mode launch fiber 12 is offset by a distance y at input endface 14 of fiber 10 (see FIG. 1), a typical DMD measurement consists of measurements of h_{DMD} at a matrix of points $\{(y_j, t_j)\}_{j=1, \dots, N, j=1, \dots, M}$.
25 The data is modeled by the following:

$$h_{DMD}(y, t) \sim \sum p_n^{SMF}(y) h(t, \tau_n, \gamma_n, \sigma_n)$$

where n ranges over the degenerate mode groups of the fiber, $p_n^{SMF}(y)$ are the relative energies launched by single mode fiber 12 at offset y into the mode groups n of test fiber 10, and h is the impulse function as defined above. The value for $p_n^{SMF}(y)$ may be

calculated by first computing the field amplitudes $a_{l,m}(y)$ launched into each mode (l,m) of the fiber (as discussed in *Optical Waveguide Theory*, A.W. Snyder et al, Chapman and Hall, London, 1983):

$$a_{\ell,m}(y) = \int d^2x E_{SMF}^{(y)}(x) \cdot E_{MMF}^{(\ell,m)}(x)$$

5 And then computing the total power in mode group n :

$$p_n^{SMF}(y) = \sum_{\ell,m \in n} |a_{\ell,m}|^2$$

10 Since $p_n^{SMF}(y)$ are known, the parameters τ_i , σ_i , and γ_i can be fit to the data $h_{DMD}(y,t)$ via nonlinear least squares. As discussed above, once the test fiber has been characterized by forward DMD and these fiber parameters are estimated, the next step is to characterize the desired source (shown as element 20 in FIG. 3) by reverse DMD, with short pulses launched into test fiber 10 as a single mode fiber 22 is moved across output endface 16. The measured power coming out of single mode fiber 22 at offset y at time t is denoted as $h'(y,t)$, which is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} h'(y,t) &= \left| \sum_{\ell,m} c_{\ell,m}(t) \int d^2x E_{MMF}^{\ell,m}(x) \cdot E_{SMF}^{(y)}(x) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_n \sum_{\ell,m \in n} \left| c_{\ell,m}(t) \int d^2x E_{MMF}^{\ell,m}(x) \cdot E_{SMF}^{(y)}(x) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_n p_n(t) \sum_{\ell,m \in n} \left| \int d^2x E_{MMF}^{\ell,m}(x) \cdot E_{SMF}^{(y)}(x) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_n p_n(t) p_n^{SMF}(y) \\ &= \sum_n p_n \mathbf{h}_n(t) p_n^{SMF}(y) \end{aligned}$$

15

The first line of the above equation expresses the measured intensity as the square of the amplitude of the field of single mode fiber 22, which is obtained as the inner product of the field of single mode fiber 22 and the field of test fiber 10. The field of multimode fiber 10, in turn, is a superposition of the individual modal fields, each with a time-

dependent amplitude $c_{l,m}(t)$. In the second line, the assumption of mutual incoherence between the multimode fiber fields at endface 16 of fiber 10 is used to simplify the relation. The assumption of complete coupling within a mode group is used in the third line, which implies that all of the $c_{l,m}$ are equal within a mode group n , and allows $p_n(t)$ to be defined as follows:

$$p_n(t) = |c_{l,m}(t)|^2$$

for any $l, m \in n$. In the last two lines, equations (1) and (2) are substituted to arrive at the final solution, in which p_n are the unknown energies of pulses launched into the various mode groups n . The variable $\mathbf{h}_n(t)$ is defined as the pulse shape of mode n , which is given by the convolution of the pulse shape of source 20, denoted $h_{source}(t)$ and the impulse response of mode n :

$$\mathbf{h}_n(t) = (h_{source} * h(\cdot, \tau_n, \gamma_n, \sigma_n))(t),$$

with the simplifying assumption made that source 20 launches the same pulse shape, but different energies, into the various modes of fiber 10.

Referring back to equation (3), the left-hand side is measured by reverse DMD, as discussed above. Every quantity is known in the right-hand side except for p_n , the modal power distribution value that is desired to be found. Therefore, in principle, p_n can be computed by ordinary least squares. In practice, maintaining the exact alignment of the times from measurement to measurement is inconvenient. Thus, it is easiest to include a single nonlinear parameter t_{offset} to align the measured times in $h'(y, t)$ and $\mathbf{h}_n(t)$, which results in the following model:

$$h'(y, t) = \sum_n p_n \mathbf{h}_n(t - t_{offset}) p_n^{SMF}(y)$$

The modal power distribution reconstruction algorithm discussed above has been applied to the reverse DMD data of FIG. 4, using the modal model parameters fit to the forward DMD data of FIG. 2. The results are illustrated in FIG. 5. The reconstructions are essentially exact, since there is no noise, and the above-described assumptions are exactly satisfied. The only modal power which was not found exactly was that of the highest mode group (PMN=10), which cannot be estimated using this method since the highest mode group is typically stripped by bend loss after a very short length of fiber.

In order to investigate the robustness of the modal power distribution measurement system of the present invention, the measurement was repeated while “violating” two of the assumptions in ways that might be encountered in practice. In the first, the DMD and reverse DMD data were simulated using a single mode fiber scan that misses the center of the multimode fiber by $2\mu\text{m}$, which is 10% of the core radius (which is considered to be a large deviation in practice). In the second, we simulated the DMD data using a single mode fiber beam radius of $3\mu\text{m}$ while the reconstructions assume $2.5\mu\text{m}$. Again, in practice, such a large discrepancy (20%) would be uncommon.

The results of the modal power distribution reconstructions of three launches with offset DMDs are shown in FIG. 6, and the results with the mismatch in single mode fiber beam radius are illustrated in FIG. 7. In both cases, it can be seen that the MPD is estimated quite accurately for the offset single mode fiber and axial Gaussian beam launches, while some error is evident in the highest order mode groups with the over-filled launch. In general, the robust performance of the MPD reconstruction of the present invention for low order modes is useful, given that it is these modes that are most likely to be excited by the restricted launches relevant for fast LAN applications. For the higher-order modes, the MPD reconstruction could be improved by introducing a penalty term to enforce smoothness of the approximations.

In an alternative embodiment of the present invention, under certain conditions it is possible to measure the modal power distribution of a source by replacing the reverse DMD measurement with a simpler impulse response measurement. When the source can produce short enough pulses of sufficient energy and small enough spectral width, a test fiber with sufficiently widely spaced mode group delays will result in the impulse responses of the individual mode groups being separated in time, as shown in FIG. 8. In this situation, the impulse response of the fiber under any launch will be a series of individual pulses, one per mode group.

The MPD of a source under test can be estimated by the following procedure. First, the DMD of the test fiber is measured, and the modal delays τ_i , attenuations γ_i and impulse response widths σ_i of the individual mode groups, indexed by i , are estimated in the same manner as described above. Then, pulses from the source are launched into the test fiber, and the optical power $p(t)$ present at the end of the test fiber is recorded as a

function of time. Optionally, the impulse response $h(t)$ of the fiber may be computed by standard methods, or the approximation $h(t)=p(t)$ may be used. Finally, the optical power p_i launched into mode group i may be estimated by the following:

$$p_i = e^{\gamma_i z} \int_{\tau_i - \varepsilon_i}^{\tau_i + \varepsilon_i} h(t) dt \left/ \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\gamma_j z} \int_{\tau_j - \varepsilon_j}^{\tau_j + \varepsilon_j} h(t) dt \right.$$

5

where z is the length of the test fiber and the ε_i are chosen so that the interval $(\tau_i - \varepsilon_i, \tau_i + \varepsilon_i)$ contains most of the energy of the impulse response of mode group i . A typical choice is $\varepsilon_i = 2\sigma_i$.

What is claimed is:

1 **1.** A method of determining the modal power distribution (MPD) of an optical
 2 source launching a lightwave signal into a multimode fiber, the multimode fiber
 3 comprising a plurality of N degenerate mode groups and defined as including an input
 4 endface, an output endface and a predetermined length z , the modal power distribution
 5 defined as the optical power launched into each mode group n of the plurality of N
 6 degenerate mode groups, the method comprising the steps of:

7 a) estimating responses $h_n(t)$ of each mode group to predetermined excitation
 8 pulses $h_{source}(t)$;

9 b) transmitting excitation pulses $h_{source}(t)$ from an optical source through said
 10 multimode fiber, and measuring at the output endface of said multimode fiber a plurality
 11 of M mode-selective impulses responses $h'(i,t)$ defined as follows:

$$h'(i,t) = \sum_n p_n(t) p_n^{selective}(i),$$

12 where $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $p_n(t)$ is defined as the impulse response of mode
 13 group n , and $p_n^{selective}(i)$ is defined as the fraction of power in mode group n under
 14 excitation by the source that is received in the i^{th} mode-selective measurement; and

15 c) determining the optical power p_n launched into each mode group n of the
 16 plurality of N degenerate mode groups by setting $p_n(t) = p_n h_n(t)$ and solving the following
 17 equation for p_n :

$$h'(i,t) = \sum_n p_n h_n(t) p_n^{selective}(i).$$

1 **2.** The method as defined in claim 1 wherein in performing step a), a differential
 2 mode delay measurement, using a single mode fiber, is used to transmit pulses into the
 3 input endface of the multimode fiber.

1 **3.** The method as defined in claim 1 wherein in performing step b), the mode-
 2 selective impulse responses are measured with a single mode fiber located at a plurality
 3 of distinct positions at the endface of the multimode fiber.

4. The method as defined in claim 1 wherein in performing step b), the following steps are performed:

i) computing the optical field amplitude $a_{l,m}(y)$ coupled into each mode group n ; and

ii) computing the relative energy $p_n^{selective}(y)$ for each mode group n using the following relation:

$$p_n^{selective}(y) = \sum_{\ell, m \in n} |a_{\ell, m}|^2.$$

5. A method of determining the modal power distribution (MPD) of an optical source launching a lightwave signal into a multimode fiber, the multimode fiber comprising a plurality of N degenerate mode groups and defined as including an input endface, an output endface and a predetermined length z , the modal power distribution defined as the optical power launched into each mode group n of the plurality of N degenerate mode groups, the method comprising the steps of:

a) estimating, by means of an appropriate measurement, the mode group delays τ_i , attenuations γ_i and impulse response widths σ_i of each of the mode groups of the multimode fiber;

b) transmitting pulses $h_{source}(t)$ from the optical source through said multimode fiber, and measurement the impulse response $h(t)$ of the multimode fiber; and

b) calculating the optical power p_i launched into each mode group i of the plurality of N degenerate mode groups using:

$$p_i = e^{\gamma_i z} \int_{\tau_i - \varepsilon_i}^{\tau_i + \varepsilon_i} h(t) dt \bigg/ \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\gamma_j z} \int_{\tau_j - \varepsilon_j}^{\tau_j + \varepsilon_j} h(t) dt$$

where the ε_i are chosen so that the interval $(\tau_i - \varepsilon_i, \tau_i + \varepsilon_i)$ contains most of the energy of the impulse response of mode group i .

6. The method as defined in claim 5 wherein in performing step a), a differential modal delay measurement, incorporating a single mode fiber transmitting pulses into the input endface of the multimode fiber, is used.

Abstract of the Disclosure

A technique for measuring the modal power distribution of an optical source (for example, a laser) launching pulses into a multimode fiber involves a characterization of the multimode fiber itself in terms of its differential modal delay. A reverse differential
5 mode delay measurement is then performed to characterize the interaction of the optical source with the multimode fiber. By knowing these characteristics, the modal power distribution of the source into the fiber can then be determined by using a reconstruction algorithm.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

GOLOWICH 7-18
2/4




FIG. 3





FIG. 4



PMN
FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 8

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Declaration and Power of Attorney

As the below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **Technique For Measuring Modal Power Distribution Between An Optical Source And A Multimode Fiber** the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by an amendment, if any, specifically referred to in this oath or declaration.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

None

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

None

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Lester H. Birnbaum	(Reg. No. 25830)
Richard J. Botos	(Reg. No. 32016)
Jeffery J. Brosemer	(Reg. No. 36096)
Kenneth M. Brown	(Reg. No. 37590)
Donald P. Dinella	(Reg. No. 39961)
Guy Eriksen	(Reg. No. P-41736)
Martin I. Finston	(Reg. No. 31613)
James H. Fox	(Reg. No. 29379)
William S. Francos	(Reg. No. 38456)
Barry H. Freedman	(Reg. No. 26166)
Julio A. Garceran	(Reg. No. 37138)
Mony R. Ghose	(Reg. No. 38159)
Jimmy Goo	(Reg. No. 36528)
Anthony Grillo	(Reg. No. 36535)
Stephen M. Gurey	(Reg. No. 27336)
John M. Harman	(Reg. No. 38173)
John W. Hayes	(Reg. No. 33900)
Michael B. Johannesen	(Reg. No. 35557)
Mark A. Kurisko	(Reg. No. 38944)
Irena Lager	(Reg. No. 39260)
Christopher N. Malvone	(Reg. No. 34866)
Scott W. McLellan	(Reg. No. 30776)
Martin G. Meder	(Reg. No. 34674)
Geraldine Monteleone	(Reg. No. 40097)
John C. Moran	(Reg. No. 30782)
Michael A. Morra	(Reg. No. 28975)
Gregory J. Murgia	(Reg. No. 41209)
Claude R. Narcisse	(Reg. No. 38979)
Joseph J. Opalach	(Reg. No. 36229)
Neil R. Ormos	(Reg. No. 35309)
Eugen E. Pacher	(Reg. No. 29964)
Jack R. Penrod	(Reg. No. 31864)
Daniel J. Piotrowski	(Reg. No. P-42079)
Gregory C. Ranieri	(Reg. No. 29695)
Scott J. Rittman	(Reg. No. 39010)
Eugene J. Rosenthal	(Reg. No. 36658)
Bruce S. Schneider	(Reg. No. 27949)
Ronald D. Slusky	(Reg. No. 26585)
David L. Smith	(Reg. No. 30592)
Patricia A. Verlangieri	(Reg. No. P-42201)
John P. Veschi	(Reg. No. 39058)
David Volejnicek	(Reg. No. 29355)

Charles L. Warren (Reg. No. 27407)
Eli Weiss (Reg. No. 17765)

I hereby appoint the attorney(s) on ATTACHMENT A as associate attorney(s) in the aforementioned application, with full power solely to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected with the prosecution of said application. No other powers are granted to such associate attorney(s) and such associate attorney(s) are specifically denied any power of substitution or revocation.

Full name of 1st joint inventor: Steven Eugene Golowich

Inventor's signature Steven Eugene Golowich Date 2/24/00

Residence: New Providence, Union County, New Jersey

Citizenship: United States of America

Post Office Address: 1371 Springfield Ave. #3
New Providence, New Jersey 07974

Full name of 2nd joint inventor: William Alfred Reed

Inventor's signature William Alfred Reed Date 2/24/00

Residence: Summit, Union County, New Jersey

Citizenship: United States of America

Post Office Address: 143 Blackburn Rd.
Summit, New Jersey 07901

ATTACHMENT AAttorney Name(s): **Wendy W. Koba**

Reg. No.: 30509

Telephone calls should be made to **Wendy W. Koba** at:

Phone No.: 610-346-7112

Fax No.: 610-346-8189

All written communications are to be addressed to:

Wendy W. Koba, Esq.
P.O. Box 556
Springtown, PA 18081-0556